

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/532,877</p> <p>Examiner Hai Vo</p>	<p>Applicant(s) BAYRAM-HAHN ET AL.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Art Unit 1794</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1794	
Art Unit 1794				

All Participants:

(1) Hai Vo

(2) Jennifer Branigan

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to amend claims 1 and 6 in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant application in condition for allowance.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hai Vo/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)